

Phase 1 School Streets Consultation Jan – Feb 2020					
School	number of respondents	support	main themes in comments from people who support/don't know	don't support	main themes in comments from people who do not support
Alderbrook	114	92%	climate change emergency, air quality, obesity, but concern about traffic displacement	5%	traffic displacement, parking displacement, lack of evidence that school street will achieve objectives
Earlsfield	176	81%	road safety, air quality, concern about displaced traffic - suggest larger area, suggest longer time, concern about deliveries, carers and tradespeople	16%	traffic displacement, parking displacement, potential confusion and penalties for people unfamiliar with the scheme
Furzedown	67	76%	road safety, air quality, adjust timings, concern about visitors,	15%	inconvenience to residents, traffic displacement, parking displacement, adjust timings, concern about deliveries
Hillbrook	108	87%	road safety, air quality, adjust timings, concern about traffic displacement and parking displacement, also need crossing at Moring/Brudenell/Franciscan Rd, welcome other measures to support active travel too,	10%	traffic displacement, parking displacement, need larger area, potential confusion and penalties for people unfamiliar with the scheme, most traffic is generated by the school, access for people with disabilities
Penwortham	115	64%	should include Pretoria Rd, concern about traffic displacement, concern about parking displacement, larger area required, concern for those who need vehicle access, concern about deliveries, road safety, pollution	26%	difficulty for parents of children with special needs, should include Pretoria Rd, traffic displacement, parking displacement, timings too long, potential confusion and penalties - object to ANPR, inadequate consultation