



London Borough of Wandsworth

Local Plan Publication (Regulation 19) Version

Schedule of Other Changes Suggested by the Council

13.09.22

Background

The following is a schedule of suggested changes that have been identified by Wandsworth Council following the submission of the Local Plan to the Planning Inspectorate. These relate to items identified by Council officers, rather than in response to issues raised through the public consultation on the Local Plan Publication (Regulation 19) Version held from 10 January to 28 February 2022.

Please note: Where the Council has suggested a change this is provided only for the benefit of the Planning Inspectors. As the Local Plan has already been submitted for examination, the Council does not have the power to make changes to the Local Plan; it can only be recommended by the Planning Inspectors to do so as Main Modifications should they consider it to be an issue of soundness or legal compliance in accordance with Section 20(7C) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

How to use this document

The items below are set out in the order of the Local Plan, as identified under the heading ‘Section’. The suggested changes take the format that proposed additions to the text are recorded in italicised and underlined text, and proposed deletions are recorded with a strikethrough.

For example: ‘This text is to be retained and this text is to be added but ~~this text is to be deleted.~~’

Appendix 1 is provided to help demonstrate where changes to maps will be made.

ID	Section	Suggested Changes	Reason
1	Map 2.1 Key Diagram	Amend Map 2.1 Key Diagram as follows: Map 2.24 Key Diagram	Map 2.1 Key Diagram is the second map in this chapter and to amend this grammatical error it should be changed.
2	PM2 Wandsworth Town A(6)	Amend wording PM2 Wandsworth Town A(6) as follows: 'Development proposals will be required to respect and enhance the <i>valued</i> views and vistas established in <u>Map 4.1 Spatial Area Map: Wandsworth Town</u> . the Urban Design Study (2021) '	The policy is considered sound and helps enhance important views in Wandsworth but to ensure the correct ones are protected the policy could be modified to ensure there is greater clarity as to which views are considered as part of the development process.
3	PM3 Nine Elms A(4)	'Consented development schemes should take place in accordance with their respective existing approved Design Codes. New or amended development proposals will be expected to meet the Vision for Nine Elms and to protect and enhance important views and vistas in the area, including: a. the views of the Battersea Power Station and its setting (see Local Views SPD); and b. views from/of the Westminster World Heritage Site (WWHS); and c. the <i>valued</i> views and vistas established in <u>Maps 5.2 Spatial Area Map: Nine Elms; Map 5.3 Spatial Area Map: Kirtling Street Cluster; and Map 5.4 Spatial Area Map: Battersea Design and Technology Quarter</u> the Urban Design Study (2021) .'	The policy is considered sound and helps enhance important views in Nine Elms but to ensure the correct ones are protected the policy could be modified to ensure there is greater clarity as to which views are considered as part of the development process.
4	Paragraph 6.16	Amend wording in paragraph 6.16 as follows: '6.16 Development will be design-led and will be required to respect the existing character of the area and heritage and landmark buildings, as well as identified <i>valued</i> views and vistas, and incorporate	The supporting text for the vision is considered sound and helps enhance important views in Clapham Junction and York Road/ Winstanley Regeneration Area but to ensure the correct ones are protected the policy could be modified to ensure there is greater clarity as to which views are considered as part of the development process.

ID	Section	Suggested Changes	Reason
		these into schemes to retain and enhance the distinctive identity of the area.'	
5	PM4 Clapham Junction and York Road/ Winstanley Regeneration Area A(7)	<p>Amend wording in PM4 Clapham Junction and York Road/ Winstanley Regeneration Area A(7) as follows:</p> <p>'Development proposals will be required to respect and enhance the <i>valued</i> views and vistas established in <u>Map 6.1 Spatial Area Map: Clapham Junction and York Road/ Winstanley Regeneration Area</u>.the Urban Design Study (2021)'</p>	The policy is considered sound and helps enhance important views in Clapham Junction and York Road/ Winstanley Regeneration Area but to ensure the correct ones are protected the policy could be modified to ensure there is greater clarity as to which views are considered as part of the development process.
6	PM5 Putney A(2)	<p>Amend wording in PM5 Putney A(2) as follows:</p> <p>'Development proposals will be required to respect and enhance the <i>valued</i> views and vistas established in <u>Map 7.1 Spatial Area Map: Putney</u>.the Urban Design Study (2021)'</p>	The policy is considered sound and helps enhance important views in Putney but to ensure the correct ones are protected the policy could be modified to ensure there is greater clarity as to which views are considered as part of the development process.
7	Putney Area Strategy – PM5 C (9).	<p>Amend the wording to PM5 Putney Area Strategy C (9) as follows:</p> <p>'Proposals to create a secondary entrance to Putney Rail Station and improve pedestrian accessibility will be supported. The Council will seek to relocate the existing taxi rank from outside the railway station to another nearby location where its presence does not contribute to congestion and delays in traffic flows.'</p>	Since the submission of the Local Plan it has been agreed that the front of the train station would host the taxi rank and this is now operational. This sentence should be removed as the Council no longer seek to relocate the existing taxi rank.
8	PM6 Tooting A(4)	<p>Amend wording in PM6 Tooting A(4) as follows:</p> <p>'Development proposals will be required to respect and enhance the <i>valued</i> views and vistas established in</p>	The policy is considered sound and helps enhance important views in Tooting but to ensure the correct ones are protected the policy could be modified to ensure there is greater clarity as to which views are considered as part of the development process.

ID	Section	Suggested Changes	Reason
		Map 8.1 Spatial Area Map: Tooting. the Urban Design Study (2021)'	
9	PM7 Roehampton and Alton Estate Regeneration Area A(5)	<p>Amend wording in PM7 Roehampton and Alton Estate Regeneration Area A(5) as follows:</p> <p>'Development proposals will be required to respect and enhance the <i>valued</i> views and vistas established in <u>Map 9.1 Spatial Area Map: Roehampton and Alton Estate Regeneration Area. the Urban Design Study (2021)</u>'</p>	The policy is considered sound and helps enhance important views in Roehampton and Alton Estate Regeneration Area but to ensure the correct ones are protected the policy could be modified to ensure there is greater clarity as to which views are considered as part of the development process.
10	PM8 Balham A(8)	<p>Amend wording in PM8 Balham A(8) as follows:</p> <p>'Development proposals will be required to respect and enhance the <i>valued</i> views and vistas established in <u>Map 10.1 Spatial Area Map: Balham. the Urban Design Study (2021)</u>'</p>	The policy is considered sound and helps enhance important views in Balham but to ensure the correct ones are protected the policy could be modified to ensure there is greater clarity as to which views are considered as part of the development process.
11	PM9 Wandsworth's Riverside A(5)	<p>Amend wording in PM9 Wandsworth's Riverside A(5) as follows:</p> <p>'Development proposals will be required to respect and enhance the <i>valued</i> views and vistas established in <u>Map 11.1 Overarching Spatial Area Map: Wandsworth's Riverside. the Urban Design Study (2021)</u>'</p>	The policy is considered sound and helps enhance important views in Wandsworth's Riverside but to ensure the correct ones are protected the policy could be modified to ensure there is greater clarity as to which views are considered as part of the development process.
12	Map 11.1 Overarching Spatial area: Wandsworth's Riverside	<p>Amend Map 11.1 Overarching Spatial area: Wandsworth's Riverside as follows:</p> <p>Move the proposed new pedestrian and cycle bridge adjacent to the Battersea Bridge to be adjacent (south) of the Battersea Railway Bridge.</p>	This proposed new pedestrian and cycle bridge was incorrectly placed next to Battersea Bridge as opposed to Battersea Railway Bridge. This illustrative error should be amended.

ID	Section	Suggested Changes	Reason
		See Appendix 1 for visualisation of mapping changes.	
13	PM10 Wandle Valley A(3)	<p>Amend wording in PM10 Wandle Valley A(3) as follows:</p> <p>'Development proposals will be required to respect and enhance the <u>valued</u> views and vistas established in <u>Map 12.1 Overarching Spatial Area Map: Wandle Valley, the Urban Design Study (2021)</u>'</p>	The policy is considered sound and helps enhance important views in Wandle Valley but to ensure the correct ones are protected the policy could be modified to ensure there is greater clarity as to which views are considered as part of the development process.
14	LP3 Historic Environment	<p>Amend wording in LP3 Historic Environment as follows:</p> <p>Development proposals will be required to positively contribute to and, whenever possible, enhance the setting and integrity of strategic and local views (as set out in the London Plan and in Table 14.1 <u>below</u>) and valued views and vistas (as identified in the <u>Spatial Area Maps Urban Design Study (2021)</u>)</p>	The policy is considered sound and helps enhance important views in the borough but to ensure the correct ones are protected the policy could be modified to ensure there is greater clarity as to which views are considered as part of the development process.
15	LP18 Arts, Culture and Entertainment	<p>Amend the wording to LP18 as follows:</p> <p>D. In accordance with the Council's adopted Planning Obligation SPD or successor document, where an applicant is unable to provide an Arts and Culture Action Plan, as it is not appropriate due to the scale and nature of the scheme, or is located within the Battersea Design and Technology Quarter (where there are proposed creative incubator hubs) or Wandsworth Town and Wandle Delta sub-area, then a commuted sum will be sought and pooled to enable the Council to meet the requirements for such provision within the local area. In accordance with the Council's adopted Planning Obligation SPD or successor document applicants will be required to produce and realise an</p>	The requirement to produce an Arts and Culture Action Plan should be prior to the clause which outlines what an applicant should do if it is unable to produce one.

ID	Section	Suggested Changes	Reason
		<p><u>Arts and Culture Action Plan to enhance the range of arts and cultural opportunities in the area.</u></p> <p>E. In accordance with the Council's adopted Planning Obligation SPD or successor document applicants will be required to produce and realise an Arts and Culture Action Plan to enhance the range of arts and cultural opportunities in the area. <u>In accordance with the Council's adopted Planning Obligation SPD or successor document, where an applicant is unable to provide an Arts and Culture Action Plan, as it is not appropriate due to the scale and nature of the scheme, or is located within the Battersea Design and Technology Quarter (where there are proposed creative incubator hubs) or Wandsworth Town and Wandle Delta sub-area, then a commuted sum will be sought and pooled to enable the Council to meet the requirements for such provision within the local area.</u></p>	
16	Paragraph 18.58	<p>Amend the wording to paragraph 18.58 as follows:</p> <p>'In the borough's town and local centres, and in the CAZ (excluding the Queenstown Road, Battersea SIL), there is an opportunity to provide a broader mix of uses within arches...'</p>	<p>This sentence should be removed for accuracy as the SIL is not located in the CAZ.</p>