

Summary of 3 May 2022

of the evidence of 30 April 2022 to the statement of facts of 29 April 2022

by: birgit waiswa, a woman

for the public inquiry meeting COM/3263104

in regard to Tooting Common (the "Common" or the "Triangle")

at Wandsworth Townhall Wandsworth High Street, London SW18 2PU starting 7 June 2022

3 May 2022

- by email only -

[a] i: a woman, birgit waiswa, give this summary of the evidence of i, of 30 April 2022 to the statement of facts of i, of 29 April 2022:

i, ask questions;

i, require evidence for statements which the woman, Joanna Shearer, the man, Simon Cooper-Grundy and the woman, Valerie Selby make in their correspondence, statement of case and proof of evidence;

i, use logic to find what is true;

[b] i, state i, give evidence to witness:

[i] The absence of a response to each question i, did ask in 2021;

[ii] The absence of evidence i, require since 2021 for and the lack of logic of certain statements the woman, Joanna Shearer makes in her correspondence of 19 March 2021 and of 14 June 2021;

[ii] The absence of evidence for and the lack of logic of certain statements which the man, Simon Cooper-Grundy makes in his statement of case for the applicant of 12 April 2022 and his proof of evidence of 11 April 2022, both of which largely repeat the statements Joanna Shearer makes in 2021;

[iv] The absence of evidence for and the lack of logic of certain statements the woman, Valerie Selby makes in her proof of evidence of 6 April 2022, which largely repeat the statements Joanna Shearer makes in 2021;

[c] i, state by way of this evidence

i, repeat and where i, consider appropriate, refine, each question i, require a response to since the correspondence of i, of 2021;

i, repeat and where i, consider appropriate, refine, the evidence i, require since 2021 for a statement which Joanna Shearer makes in their said correspondence, which Simon Cooper-Grundy and Valerie Selby largely reproduce:

[1] How many of we, of mankind do not consent to commercial pitches

[2] Quality of access to common land

i, ask how do you, plan to protect the public interest to conserve the same quality of nature and the public interest to conserve the same quality of public rights of access to any area of land;

[3] Open, transparent and democratic process

i, ask how do you, and the men and women whom we, elect to the Council to guard the property of we, of mankind, justify to go ahead and grant planning permission on 21 May 2020 and thus, satisfy the will of a only a few of we, who prefer structured recreation yet against the will of more than 5,000 of we, of mankind who did make known to you by May 2020 that we, do not support the proposal for we wish to preserve the nature of the common land as is;

i, ask when even the man, Hector Denfield who at times acts as councillor and as solicitor claims the commercialisation of the Tooting Triangle is a "done deal" at a time that i, did expect to receive a response from Naoual Margoum for the planning inspectorate in April 2021, are you able to relate to the concerns that i, a woman and we, of mankind raise with regard to whether those of mankind who work for the Council heed due process; do you, know what makes government lawful;

[4] Dark Sky

i, ask, in reality during the last decade, how many evenings a year are the existing flood lights not only booked but in use and **for how many hours per evening a year**;

i, require evidence that one of the last dark sky areas over London is not destroyed by flood lights on the Triangle that you, propose to be in use from dusk to nine o'clock every evening for the eight months of the year with early dusk: autumn, winter and spring which represents an 800 to 2,400 per cent increase from today, depending on, in reality, how many hours per evening per week the flood lights are in use to this day;

i, require evidence for the statement Joanna Shearer makes that the development has "negligable effect on pollinators and insects";

i, state that already by 2019, since before the time the men and women who act for the Wandsworth planning applications committee did grant planning permisssion for the commercial pitches, reference 2019/4206, said further research is available since 2011 which

Joanna says it's not;

i, require the man or woman who at times acts as planning inspector for the appropriate national authority in determining the Council's application for consent in relation to the proposed works on common land to have regard to the public interest which includes the interest in nature conservation and which Joanna Shearer, Simon Cooper-Grundy and Valerie Selby, be it wilfully or negligently, disregard;

i, require the man or woman who at times acts as planning inspector to have regard for the lack of and misrepresentation of evidence and facts for the statements which Joanna Shearer, Simon Cooper-Grundy and Valerie Selby make for the Council in support of the Council's application for consent to the Planning Inspectorate;

[5] No harm from artificial turf

i, require evidence for the statement Joanna and Simon make that no harm from artificial grass comes to neither i, a woman who uses the Triangle almost every day, nor to others of mankind, nor to animals of any kind that live on the Triangle, nor to Earth's soil and plants that form the Triangle and surroundings; said evidence is to prove either that no transport of artificial turf off potential football pitches takes place by wind, rain, those of mankind who play football or in other ways and or said evidence is to prove that no harm comes to i, others of mankind, wildlife and or Earth by way of said transport of artificial grass to common land that surrounds the Tooting Triangle;

[6] Protected wildlife, bats, insects

i, state, the statements that Joanna and Valerie make that "the proposals will bring about a moderate beneficial impact on bats" and that "nature conservation interests will be improved... will remain unaffected" **are not true for said statements lack evidence, logic and coherence and are hence, ambiguous;** in case the man or woman who acts for the appropriate national planning authority consents to the Council's application, i, require said evidence and that he or she has regard to the public interest in nature conservation as i, hereby state and give evidence in regard to:

The bat commuting corridor to the north of the proposed pitches will be affected;

The new flood lights will spill light to the wider Triangle area;

The new flood lights will contribute to insect decline, and in an area where bats forage as the artificial light mimicks all year round the short summer nights when due to the late dusk the feeding opportunities for bats are less than during the rest of the year while in mild winters

bats might not fully hibernate;

[7] Evidence for no harm by noise

i, require evidence that commercial football pitches do not emit noise that can cause harm by stress or otherwise adversely affect neither we, of mankind who use the Common and or live in its neighbourhood nor animals of any shape or form in regard to the statements, among others, which Joanna makes in number 10 of her correspondence of 19 March 2021 and Simon makes in number 11 of his proof of evidence of 11 April 2022;

i, state, the statements that Simon makes in number 14 and 15 of his statement of case that "the interest of the neighbourhood and therefore the most likely users of the facility and the Common more generally will be significantly enhanced... The Applicant submit that the works proposed as a whole and specifically for those for which consent under Art.12 is sought will deliver considerable benefits to the neighbourhood and to the public interest. No harm will arise" **are not true for said statements lack evidence, logic and definition and are hence, ambiguous;** in case the man or woman who acts for the appropriate national planning authority consents to the Council's application, i, require said evidence and that he or she has regard to the interests of the neighbourhood, the public interest, and the public interest in nature conservation:

i, ask in regard to Simon's statement of "No harm will arise": to whom will no harm arise;

i, require evidence that no harm comes from noise a fourteen-hour a day seven days a week semi-professional sports facility emits to those of mankind who use the common land for recreation and enjoyment of peace and quiet and those who live in the vicinity of said facility;

i, require evidence that no harm comes to we, of mankind, to fauna and flora from flood lights proposed to make nights shorter by spilling light to the wider Triangle area for three quarters of evenings a year instead of only a few and thus, make it difficult or impossible for protected species such as bats and for invertebrates to feed, reproduce and survive as shown in the evidence of i;

[8] Funding to improve existing facilities

i, state, in the notice of no consent of i, of 14 December 2020 i, require the man or woman who acts for the Planning Inspectorate to direct those of mankind who act for Wandsworth to make post-due improvements fast to the Tooting Triangle area by way of draining the recurrent floodings and by refurbishing the Balham Boxing and Youth Club;

i, ask with Wandsworth Council being a parent company with an annual revenue of United States Dollar 1.04 billion and several subsidiary companies with annual revenues in the two

figure millions, www.dnb.com, what does Joanna mean with her statement of 14 June 2021 "in current circumstances" the only way is through a private company to provide much needed improved facilities such as to build public toilets, a cafe, refurbish some buildings inside and out and work together with Thames Water to solve the flooding problems around the Triangle area since decades; where do the billions go of the annual revenue Wandsworth generates.