# WANDSWORTH COUNCIL Examination of Employment & Industry Document

ID/06 B V4

Inspector: Kenneth Stone BSc(Hons) DipTP MRTPI

Programme Officer: Charlotte Glancy

C/O Banks Solutions Flat 3 Stanmore House 118-120 High Street Billingshurst RH14 9QS

Email: <u>bankssolutionsuk@gmail.com</u>

Employment and Industry Review Website: http://www.wandsworth.gov.uk/employmentlandreview

# HEARING AGENDA

- DAY 1 Afternoon session Tuesday 20 February 2018
- Start: 2:00 pm

#### Venue: Committee Room 123, Wandsworth Town Hall

- 1. Inspector's opening remarks
- 2. Introductions
- 3. Questions/procedural or programming matters
- 4. Issues to be covered

**Issue 3: Individual Policies** (Policies EI2 – EI7) - Are the individual policies clear, justified and consistent with national policy and will they be effective?

# Policy EI2

Is the list of sites identified as Economic Use Intensification Areas (EUIAs) clear and justified?

- Is the list of EUIAs at point 4 sufficiently clear to ensure the policy is effective?
- Should Lydden Road Locally Significant Industrial Area (LSIA), identified in policy EI3, be re-designated for a more flexible approach as an EUIA, under policy EI2, or Masterplan concept approach? Would re-designation undermine the level of industrial land supply?
- Is the Ingate Place designated as Industrial Business Park (IBP) in the Strategic Industrial Land (SIL) in policy EI3 justified or should it be re-designated as an EUIA under point 4? Would re-designation undermine the level of industrial land supply?

# Policy EI 3

Is the designation of Summertown as a LSIA justified or should it be re-designated as SIL in accordance with the Council's proposed Main Modifications?

Is Penwith Road (150a-170) justifiably part of the Thornsett Road LSIA, having regard to policy EI6?

In point 2 is the identification of the northern and western edges of Queenstown Road, Batteresea SIL for IBP uses justified? What are the Council's intentions regarding the

IBP designation given the London Plan Consultation? Should the area be designated as an EUIA, having regard to policy EI6?

Are the EPA sites clearly identified, and the policy effective with regard to EPAs?

# Policy EI 4

Is policy EI4 justified and does it provide a clear indication as to the provision of affordable workspace? Would the policy threaten the development of sites through a scale of policy burden that would undermine development viability?

#### Policy EI5

Are the requirements of policy EI5 clearly justified? Do they address the spatial implications of economic, social and environmental change? and not add unnecessarily to the financial burdens on development?

#### Policy EI6

Are the references to Industrial Business Park, at points 4 and 6, in policy EI6 justified ?

# Policy EI7

Is the wording of policy EI7 justified?

5. Close

# Participants

Participants in addition to the Inspector and London Borough of Wandsworth

**1099427** - Jones Lang LaSalle O/B/O Style and Space Contractors Ltd. (EI3 & EI6)

**994958/224282** - Rolfe Judd O/B/O Workspace Group Plc. & TR Property Investment Trust Plc. (EI2, EI4 & EI6)

968569 - Boyer Planning O/B/O Ipsus Developments Ltd. (EI3 & EI6)

**1114805** - Stephan Reinke Architects O/B/O Lydden Road Group (EI2)

994413 - Savills O/B/O Safestore Ltd. (EI2, EI3, EI4 & EI6)

**1041290** - Turley O/B/O Rockspring Property Investments Management. (EI3 & EI5)

Kenneth Stone

INSPECTOR

19 February 2018