## **EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT – STAFFING EIA**

To be completed and attached to any procedural document when submitted to the appropriate committee for consideration and approval.

No.	Question	Yes/No	Comments
1.	Does the proposed change affect one group less favourably than another on the basis of:		
	• Ethnicity	N	52.6% of the people in the teams affected have a declared origin of "white British", and 47.4% have a declared origin as BME. Within the teams affected by the proposal the ratios comparable with DESS as a whole – 53.6% and 46.4%.
	• Gender	N	100% of the staff in the teams affected are female, and this will continue to be the case. This compares to wider DESS figures which show that 76% of DESS staff are female.
	Religion or belief	Not known	Information not available.
	Sexual orientation	Not known	Information not available.
	• Age	N	84% of staff in the teams affected are currently under 55. This is slightly more than the wider DESS figure of 77.5% but broadly comparable.
	Disability	N	16% of staff in the teams affected currently consider themselves to be disabled and have made this known. The wider DESS figure is 7.5%.
2.	What is the less favourable effect?	N/A	
3.	If you have identified potential discrimination or less favourable treatment, are there valid, legal and/or justifiable explanations for this?	N/A	
4.	What alternative options have been considered to minimise the negative impact on these groups of staff (e.g. alternatives to compulsory redundancy such as reduced hours, voluntary redundancy etc)?		An option to retain the work within the Council was considered. However, it was felt that a broader range of services could be provided to a broader range of people with greater value for money should services be grouped together in one contract and subject to tender.

5.	How does any selection criterion being used ensure equality for all groups?	The staff concerned would be transferred to a new provider with TUPE protection because the functions carried out would be transferred to the new provider.
6.	What assistance is being provided to ensure all staff are equipped for the selection process?	Staff members have been offered an opportunity to discuss the process, and will be supported by senior management throughout the contracting process.
7.	What alternatives are there to achieving the change guidance without the impact?	Retaining the work in-house was considered, and this would have removed the impact. However, the desired improvement in service breadth and integration would not have been achieved.