

Strategic Assessment 2008/09 – Appendices

Appendix 1. Glossary

BCS	British Crime Survey
CDRP	Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnership
DAAT	Drugs and Alcohol Action Team
DIP	Drug Intervention Programme
FTE	First Time Entrant
KIN	Key Individual Networks
LAS	London ambulance Service
LFB	London Fire Brigade
MPS	Metropolitan Police Service
OASys	Offender Assessment System
PAO	Priority Area Overview
PAS	Public Attitude Survey
PSG	Partnership Strategy Group
YOIS	Youth Offending Information System
YOT	Youth Offending Team

Appendix 2. List of tables, charts and maps included in the strategic assessment

	Page
▪ Table 1 – Performance 2007/08	5
▪ Table 2 – Performance 2008/09	6
▪ Table 3a and chart 3b – Age profile of those accused, 2007/08.	3
▪ Table 4a and chart 4b – Ethnicity profile of those accused, 2007/08	8
▪ Map 5 – Priority Area Overview in Wandsworth, 2008	15
▪ Maps 6 – Geographical distribution of crime during the period from April to September 2008	17
▪ Maps 7 – Geographical distribution of graffiti removals, deliberate fires and sharps collections.	18-19
▪ Maps 8 – Calls to the Police between April and September 2008 relating to rowdy behaviour, substance misuse and rowdy neighbours.	20
▪ Maps 9 – Geographical pattern per super output areas of calls to the London Ambulance Service, 2007/08	21
▪ Chart 10 – Peak days for each type of crime and disorder.	23
▪ Table 11 – Age profiles of those victims of crime, 2007/08.	25
▪ Table 12 – Ethnicity profiles of those victims of crime, 2007/08.	26
▪ Table 13 – Type of businesses that reported being victims of crime, 2007/08.	28
▪ Table 14 – Level of disorder as perceived by the public, Public Attitude Survey, Quarter 1 results, 2008/09	28
▪ Table 15 – Feeling of safety and security as expressed by the public, Public Attitude Survey, Quarter 1 results, 2008/09	29
▪ Table 16 – Extracts from the report on the Tellus survey 2008	30
▪ Table 17 – Incidents of business crime experienced in the last 12 months, Business survey 2008.	31
▪ Table 18 – Type of business crime experienced, Business survey 2008.	31
▪ Table 19 – Cost of business crime, Business survey 2008.	32

Appendix 3. List of datasets used for the strategic assessment

Drug Action Team. The analysis was provided by the Drugs and Alcohol Action Team and was based on the following datasets:

- Drug Intervention programme – to identify drug misuse patterns amongst those arrested for trigger offences.

London Ambulance Service:

- Data on incidents dealt with by the London Ambulance Service – to identify geographical and temporal profiles of incidents.

London Fire Brigade:

- Data on deliberate fires – to identify geographical and temporal profiles of deliberate fires and to identify most likely targets.

Metropolitan Police Service:

- Crime Report Information System – to identify geographical and temporal patterns as well as profiles of victims and perpetrators. This data system provides local data and is a record of the allegation only. It does have the advantage, however, of detailing the timeframe, the location of the incident and the profile of the offenders and victims.
- Performance Information Bureau (PIB) – to identify crime trends. PIB data reflect the number of confirmed incidents in Wandsworth and in all other London boroughs and permit inter-borough comparisons. Additionally, information from Iquanta, the Home Office’s analytical tool was also used for identifying crime trends.
- DARIS (calls to the Police) – to identify disorder patterns, and
- Public Attitude Survey – to identify issues relating to local policing amongst the community.

Probation:

- OASys – to identify alcohol misuse patterns amongst convicted offenders.

Wandsworth Council, Children’s Services Department:

- Youth Offending Information System – to identify the profile of the young people involved into criminal activities and their offending pattern,
- School exclusion – to identify the profile of those permanently excluded from school, and
- Tellus survey – to identify any issues amongst pupils relating to crime and disorder.

Wandsworth Council, Economic Development Office:

- Business survey – to identify any issue relating to business crime amongst the local business community.

Wandsworth Council, Housing Department:

- Graffiti removal service’s Saffron – to identify disorder patterns, specifically for graffiti.
- Sharps - to identify drug misuse geographical patterns.

Wandsworth Council, Leisure and Amenities services

- Sharps - to identify drug misuse geographical patterns.

Appendix 4. Performance report 2007/08

CRIME STATISTICS - PERFORMANCE 2007/08

1. **Crime reduction targets.** Under the Home Office Public Service Agreement, the government is committed to reducing overall crime in England and Wales by 15% between April 2004 and the end of March 2008. The contribution from the London region is to reduce police recorded crime in 10 specific types by 19.4%. Wandsworth Borough's expected contribution is to reduce those crime types by 20% over the same period.
2. In Wandsworth, the annual target in 2007/08 for this group of 10 offences was a reduction of 7.5%. This group of offences, called the BCS comparator crime includes the following offences: residential burglary, personal robbery, theft of motor vehicle, theft from motor vehicle, snatch theft, pickpocket, theft of cycles, criminal damage, wounding offences and common assault. Individual targets have been set on each type of offence.
3. **Performance results table.** The table below exhibits the performance of the MPS against their targets as well as the performance recorded in Wandsworth against the Borough's bespoke targets. Further comparison is also provided with two other comparative groupings: inner London and a family of similar boroughs.
4. The performances recorded in Wandsworth are colour-coded in table 1 as an easy tool to compare the Borough's performance. The green colour shows that the target was met and/or the reduction was better than the overall reduction within the MPS. The amber colour indicates that the reduction in Wandsworth was less than 5 points worse than within the whole MPS and the red colour was used when the Borough's reduction was over 5 points worse than the MPS performance.
5. **Themes crime reports.** Themed crime reports are regularly introduced to the Regeneration and Community Safety Overview and Scrutiny Committee. Street crime, residential burglary and violence against the person, which are currently priority crimes as defined by the local Crime, Drugs and Disorder Reduction Strategy, are reported twice a year. Motor vehicle crime, hate crime and domestic violence are other themes reported annually to the Committee.

Definitions

6. **Family.** The family of similar boroughs includes Croydon, Ealing, Hammersmith and Fulham, Hounslow, Kensington and Chelsea, Lewisham, Merton, Waltham Forest and Wandsworth.
7. **Sanctioned detection.** Sanctioned detections are essentially instances where reports of offences lead to proceedings (e.g. someone being charged, summonsed or cautioned) or where courts take offences into consideration following conviction for other crimes. The sanctioned detection rate is the total number of sanctioned detections expressed as a percentage of the total number of offences recorded.

Glossary

BCS	: British Crime Survey
MPS	: Metropolitan Police Service
SD	: Sanctioned Detection

Table 1: Offences and sanctioned detections – 2007/08 Performance against targets







	MPS			Wandsworth			Inner London	Family
OFFENCES	Volume	Change on 2006/07	Target	Volume	Change on 2006/07	Target	Change on 2006/07	Change on 2006/07
BCS comparator crime	485,135	-8.8%	-5.5%	16,769	-6.9%	-7.5%	-8.8%	-10.5%
Residential burglary	59,837	-0.2%	-4%	2,340	-11.2%	-10%	-4.2%	-4.6%
Personal robbery	33,645	-20.8%	-3%	1,223	-25.2%	-12%	-22.1%	-26.3%
Theft of motor vehicle	33,906	-9.8%	-6%	1,269	+8.7%	-8%	-10.6%	-9.5%
Theft from motor vehicle	85,554	-7.1%	-5%	2,915	-6.2%	-4%	-3.7%	-13%
Theft person:	33,305	-12.3%	-5%	827	-17.8%	-3%	-14.8%	-15.8%
Snatch	8,588	-35.4%	no target	244	-45%	no target	-40.1%	-39.6%
Pickpockets	24,717	+0.2%	no target	583	+3.7%	no target	-3.2%	+2%
Thefts of cycles	17,183	-7.9%	-7%	1,114	-17.9%	-13%	-10.9%	-8.8%
BCS criminal damage	101,624	-10%	-7%	2,974	+2%	-9%	-7.1%	-8.1%
BCS assaults:	117,008	-7.9%	-6%	3,948	-3.9%	-5%	N/A	N/A
BCS wounding	73,045	-8%	-6%	2,438	-2.9%	-6%	-5.1%	-7.4%
BCS common assault	43,963	-7.9%	-5%	1,510	-5.4%	-4%	-10.8%	-7.1%
Gun enabled crime	3,328	-1.4%	-5%	131	+26%	-5%	N/A	N/A
SANCTIONED DETECTIONS	Volume	SD rate	Target	Volume	SD rate	Target	SD rate	SD rate
Total Notifiable Offences	216,214	25.1%	24%	5,363	19.6%	24%	24.8%	24.9%
Residential burglary	8,568	14.3%	21%	165	7.1%	20%	14.1%	13.5%
Personal robbery	5,139	15.3%	16%	239	19.5%	14%	14.6%	15.7%
Gun enabled crime	N/A	20.3%	no target	N/A	21.4%	no target	N/A	N/A
Domestic violence	22,598	44.3%	41%	688	42.3%	40%	41.7%	42.3%
Racist crime	3,422	39.6%	33%	76	38.4%	34%	40%	40.2%
Homophobic crime	420	42.8%	33%	5	35.7%	33%	TBC	TBC
Rape	635	33.1%	32%	22	24.4%	38%	30.9%	30.8%

Appendix 5. Performance table for the 6-month period between April and September 2008 (financial year 2008/09)



	MPS			Wandsworth			Inner London	Family
OFFENCES	Volume	Change on 2007/08	Target	Volume	Change on 2007/08	Target	Change on 2007/08	Change on 2007/08
Most serious violence	3,523*	n/a	no target	77*	n/a	no target	n/a	n/a
Serious acquisitive crime	98,287	-8.1%	-4%	3,210	-18%	-4.4%	-12.8%	-11.5%
Robbery (personal and commercial)	15,751	-16.7%	-2.4%	527	-25.5%	-1%	-21.5%	-16.3%
Residential burglary	26,938	-3.1%	-4.5%	824	-34.3%	-1%	-15.3%	-7.3%
Theft of motor vehicle	15,895	-10.2%	-3.3%	619	+4.7%	-4.4%	-9.4%	-11.2%
Theft from motor vehicle	39,703	-9.4%	-3.9%	1,240	-8.8%	-8.7%	-8%	-12.3%
Gun crime			-3%			-5%		
Knife crime			-6.2%			-5.3%		
Youth violence			-6.1%			-5%		
Assault with injury	33,912	n/a	no target	1,124	n/a	no target	n/a	n/a
Total Notifiable Offences	427,631	-2.4%	no target	12,701	-9%	no target	-4.2%	-1.4%
SANCTIONED DETECTIONS	Volume SD	SD rate	Target	Volume SD	SD rate	Target	SD rate	SD rate
Rape	352	27%	36.9%	10	17.2%	35%	28.3%	13.1%
Total acquisitive crime	10,584	10.8%	11.8%	288	9%	12.2%	10.9%	10.2%
Robbery	2,850	18.1%	17%	81	15.4%	17%	17.1%	17.8%
Residential burglary	3,846	14.3%	16%	62	7.5%	16%	14.2%	13%
Motor vehicle	3,888	7%	8%	145	7.8%	8%	7.4%	6.5%
Domestic violence	12359	44.2%	45.3%	386	47%	43%		
Racist offences	1763	37.3%	40.8%	47	36.4%	43%		
Homophobic offences	197	32.6%	43.8%	5	45.5%	42%		



*New category – figures currently under review to ensure compliance with new definition


Appendix 6. Trends charts





Appendix 7. Crime hotspots 2007/08












Appendix 8. Crime hotspots for the 6-month period between April and September 2008 (financial year 2008/09)










Appendix 9. Change in crime patterns using comparisons between crime hotspots for the period between April and September 2008 is compared with the same period the previous year



Appendix 9 – Crime hotspots comparison



Appendix 9 – Crime hotspots comparison



Appendix 10. Ward statistics, April to September 2008

Violence against the person						
Borough's performance: %	Volume of offences	Rate per 1000 residents	Change compared to period April to September	Ranking - Change *	Contribution to Borough's figure	Ranking - contribution **
Balham	101	7.35	-14.4%	6	3.9%	6
Bedford	119	8.37	30.8%	18	4.6%	12
Earlsfield	115	8.19	-22.8%	2	4.5%	10
East Putney	57	3.99	-24.0%	1	2.2%	1
Fairfield	141	10.56	2.2%	13	5.5%	15
Furzedown	97	6.70	-14.2%	7	3.8%	4
Graveney	192	13.12	7.3%	15	7.4%	18
Latchmere	218	15.99	16.6%	17	8.5%	20
Nightingale	99	6.89	7.6%	16	3.8%	5
Northcote	124	8.94	40.9%	19	4.8%	14
Queenstown	183	12.14	5.8%	14	7.1%	17
Roehampton	172	11.80	1.2%	12	6.7%	16
St. Mary's Park	121	7.96	-0.8%	11	4.7%	13
Shaftesbury	107	8.07	-17.7%	3	4.1%	7
Southfields	118	7.67	-9.2%	8	4.6%	11
Thamesfield	112	7.91	47.4%	20	4.3%	8
Tooting	211	14.18	-2.3%	10	8.2%	19
Wandsworth Common	84	5.85	-16.8%	4	3.3%	2
West Hill	113	7.37	-15.7%	5	4.4%	9
West Putney	95	7.08	-3.1%	9	3.7%	3

Robbery (Commercial and personal robbery)						
Borough's performance: %	Volume of offences	Rate per 1000 residents	Change compared to period April to September 07	Ranking - Change *	Contribution to Borough's figure	Ranking - contribution **
Balham	26	1.89	-40.9%	4	5.2%	12
Bedford	23	1.62	-39.5%	5	4.6%	9
Earlsfield	21	1.50	40.0%	20	4.2%	5
East Putney	12	0.84	-50.0%	2	2.4%	1
Fairfield	26	1.95	-3.7%	14	5.2%	12
Furzedown	26	1.79	-54.4%	1	5.2%	12
Graveney	35	2.39	-23.9%	9	7.0%	18
Latchmere	35	2.57	-23.9%	9	7.0%	18
Nightingale	34	2.37	-15.0%	12	6.8%	17
Northcote	21	1.51	-48.8%	3	4.2%	5
Queenstown	39	2.59	-33.9%	7	7.8%	20
Roehampton	19	1.30	26.7%	18	3.8%	4
St. Mary's Park	32	2.11	-22.0%	11	6.4%	16
Shaftesbury	25	1.88	31.6%	19	5.0%	11
Southfields	24	1.56	-25.0%	8	4.8%	10
Thamesfield	21	1.48	10.5%	16	4.2%	5
Tooting	27	1.81	-38.6%	6	5.4%	15
Wandsworth Common	17	1.18	0.0%	15	3.4%	3
West Hill	13	0.85	-7.1%	13	2.6%	2
West Putney	22	1.64	15.8%	17	4.4%	8

* Rank 1 is good (best performance) while rank 20 is bad (worst performance)

** Rank 1 is good (lowest contribution) while rank 20 is bad (highest contribution)

Residential burglary							
Borough's performance: %	Volume of offences	Rate per 1000 residents	Change compared to period April to September 07	Ranking - Change *	Contribution to Borough's figure	Ranking - contribution **	
Balham	62	4.51	-40.95%	9	7.60%	18	
Bedford	41	2.88	-59.80%	2	5.02%	12	
Earlsfield	23	1.64	-43.90%	7	2.82%	2	
East Putney	48	3.36	-4.00%	17	5.88%	15	
Fairfield	43	3.22	-49.41%	4	5.27%	13	
Furzedown	79	5.45	2.60%	18	9.68%	20	
Graveney	38	2.60	-44.93%	6	4.66%	11	
Latchmere	24	1.76	-48.94%	5	2.94%	3	
Nightingale	56	3.90	-32.53%	11	6.86%	17	
Northcote	47	3.39	-51.04%	3	5.76%	14	
Queenstown	31	2.06	-20.51%	15	3.80%	7	
Roehampton	33	2.26	-29.79%	13	4.04%	8	
St. Mary's Park	26	1.71	-36.59%	10	3.19%	5	
Shaftesbury	30	2.26	-65.12%	1	3.68%	6	
Southfields	36	2.34	56.52%	20	4.41%	10	
Thamesfield	72	5.08	9.09%	19	8.82%	19	
Tooting	49	3.29	-43.68%	8	6.00%	16	
Wandsworth Common	35	2.44	-16.67%	16	4.29%	9	
West Hill	19	1.24	-32.14%	12	2.33%	1	
West Putney	24	1.79	-27.27%	14	2.94%	3	

Theft of motor vehicle							
Borough's performance: %	Volume of offences	Rate per 1000 residents	Change compared to period April to September 07	Ranking - Change *	Contribution to Borough's figure	Ranking - contribution **	
Balham	26	1.89	-7.14%	8	4.28%	7	
Bedford	27	1.90	-20.59%	5	4.45%	10	
Earlsfield	47	3.35	23.68%	11	7.74%	18	
East Putney	15	1.05	150.00%	20	2.47%	1	
Fairfield	34	2.55	13.33%	9	5.60%	15	
Furzedown	34	2.35	-33.33%	3	5.60%	15	
Graveney	20	1.37	-23.08%	4	3.29%	3	
Latchmere	28	2.05	-44.00%	1	4.61%	12	
Nightingale	26	1.81	23.81%	12	4.28%	7	
Northcote	17	1.23	-34.62%	2	2.80%	2	
Queenstown	56	3.72	-11.11%	6	9.23%	20	
Roehampton	21	1.44	75.00%	18	3.46%	4	
St. Mary's Park	52	3.42	15.56%	10	8.57%	19	
Shaftesbury	32	2.41	-8.57%	7	5.27%	13	
Southfields	26	1.69	30.00%	14	4.28%	7	
Thamesfield	25	1.77	66.67%	17	4.12%	6	
Tooting	27	1.81	28.57%	13	4.45%	10	
Wandsworth Common	40	2.79	60.00%	16	6.59%	17	
West Hill	32	2.09	45.45%	15	5.27%	13	
West Putney	22	1.64	144.44%	19	3.62%	5	

* Rank 1 is good (best performance) while rank 20 is bad (worst performance)

** Rank 1 is good (lowest contribution) while rank 20 is bad (highest contribution)

Theft from motor vehicle						
Borough's performance: %	Volume of offences	Rate per 1000 residents	Change compared to period April to September 07	Ranking - Change *	Contribution to Borough's figure	Ranking - contribution **
Balham	61	4.44	-27.38%	6	5.08%	13
Bedford	51	3.59	-8.93%	10	4.25%	7
Earlsfield	58	4.13	-1.69%	11	4.83%	10
East Putney	49	3.43	40.00%	17	4.08%	6
Fairfield	63	4.72	16.67%	15	5.25%	14
Furzedown	41	2.83	-25.45%	7	3.41%	3
Graveney	33	2.26	-49.23%	2	2.75%	2
Latchmere	59	4.33	15.69%	14	4.91%	11
Nightingale	71	4.94	12.70%	13	5.91%	15
Northcote	60	4.33	-41.75%	3	5.00%	12
Queenstown	105	6.97	40.00%	17	8.74%	20
Roehampton	56	3.84	-30.00%	5	4.66%	9
St. Mary's Park	45	2.96	-37.50%	4	3.75%	5
Shaftesbury	86	6.48	-14.85%	9	7.16%	19
Southfields	74	4.81	29.82%	16	6.16%	16
Thamesfield	81	5.72	6.58%	12	6.74%	18
Tooting	42	2.82	-64.71%	1	3.50%	4
Wandsworth Common	80	5.58	40.35%	19	6.66%	17
West Hill	31	2.02	-20.51%	8	2.58%	1
West Putney	55	4.10	61.76%	20	4.58%	8

Criminal damage						
Borough's performance:	Volume of offences	Rate per 1000 residents	Change compared to period April to September 07	Ranking - Change *	Contribution to Borough's figure	Ranking - contribution **
Balham	42	3.06	-28.81%	3	2.76%	1
Bedford	65	4.57	10.17%	13	4.27%	7
Earlsfield	63	4.49	-16.00%	5	4.14%	4
East Putney	70	4.90	55.56%	19	4.60%	10
Fairfield	83	6.22	15.28%	16	5.45%	14
Furzedown	48	3.31	-53.85%	1	3.15%	2
Graveney	93	6.36	22.37%	18	6.11%	16
Latchmere	79	5.79	-15.96%	6	5.19%	13
Nightingale	63	4.38	-3.08%	10	4.14%	4
Northcote	58	4.18	-15.94%	7	3.81%	3
Queenstown	99	6.57	4.21%	11	6.50%	17
Roehampton	115	7.89	-6.50%	8	7.55%	20
St. Mary's Park	89	5.86	18.67%	17	5.84%	15
Shaftesbury	67	5.05	13.56%	15	4.40%	9
Southfields	75	4.87	-6.25%	9	4.92%	12
Thamesfield	107	7.56	72.58%	20	7.03%	18
Tooting	107	7.19	12.63%	14	7.03%	18
Wandsworth Common	71	4.95	7.58%	12	4.66%	11
West Hill	63	4.11	-32.26%	2	4.14%	4
West Putney	66	4.92	-17.50%	4	4.33%	8

* Rank 1 is good (best performance) while rank 20 is bad (worst performance)



** Rank 1 is good (lowest contribution) while rank 20 is bad (highest contribution)

Total Notifiable Offences						
Borough's performance:	Volume of offences	Rate per 1000 residents	Change compared to period April to September 07	Ranking - Change *	Contribution to Borough's figure	Ranking - contribution **
Balham	577	41.98	-21.07%	2	4.68%	9
Bedford	511	35.92	-13.97%	6	4.15%	6
Earlsfield	542	38.59	-5.24%	12	4.40%	7
East Putney	410	28.71	0.00%	14	3.33%	1
Fairfield	711	53.25	-3.92%	13	5.77%	15
Furzedown	463	31.96	-24.72%	1	3.76%	4
Graveney	765	52.28	-16.76%	4	6.21%	17
Latchmere	683	50.09	-15.68%	5	5.54%	14
Nightingale	628	43.70	5.90%	19	5.09%	12
Northcote	732	52.78	-17.38%	3	5.94%	16
Queenstown	855	56.73	0.94%	16	6.94%	19
Roehampton	653	44.78	-8.54%	11	5.30%	13
St. Mary's Park	575	37.85	-12.61%	8	4.66%	8
Shaftesbury	620	46.74	-13.17%	7	5.03%	11
Southfields	589	38.27	4.80%	18	4.78%	10
Thamesfield	873	61.64	4.55%	17	7.08%	20
Tooting	805	54.10	-11.34%	10	6.53%	18
Wandsworth Common	467	32.55	0.21%	15	3.79%	5
West Hill	414	27.00	-11.54%	9	3.36%	2
West Putney	453	33.78	16.45%	20	3.68%	3



* Rank 1 is good (best performance) while rank 20 is bad (worst performance)

** Rank 1 is good (lowest contribution) while rank 20 is bad (highest contribution)



Appendix 11. Key hotspots for anti-social behaviour




Appendix 11 – Anti-social behaviour hotspots



Appendix 11 – Anti-social behaviour hotspots




Appendix 11 – Anti-social behaviour hotspots




Appendix 12. Temporal profiles


Main crime types - April to September 2008



Calls to the Police – April to September 2008



Arsons – Calls to the London Fire Brigade – 2007/08



Calls to the London Ambulance Service – 2007/08

